

IMPACT OF CLIMATIC CHANGE ON LIVELIHOOD OF RURAL DWELLERS IN KWARA STATE

Gana¹, N.N., Haruna², I.S., Ibrahim², M. D. and Igbokwe¹, G. O.

Corresponding author: Gana, N. N. gananathanielnawo@gmail.com

^{1,2}Department of Physics Edu, Kwara State College of Education (Tech) Lafiagi

²Dept of Agric Educ, College of Education (Technical) Lafiagi, Kwara State

¹Department of Forestry and Fisheries, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto

Abstract

Eight local government areas in Kwara State senatorial district being the areas that are prone to the effects of climate change were purposively selected. Proportionate sampling was employed to select the villages. A total of two thousand (2000) copies of the questionnaires were administered and one thousand four hundred and ninety-three returned. The data collected were subjected to descriptive statistical analysis (frequency and percentages) to analyze socio-economic characteristics of the farmers. The result indicated significant impact of climate change on livelihood such that 5.7% of people lost their lives due to flood, 18.3% of livestock were destroyed due to flood and 22.7% of the respondents agreed that livestock were destroyed due to harsh weather. 43.9% of the respondents also indicated that farm produce were invaded by birds (quelea), 40.8% showed that deforestation was the major cause of climate change, 25.1% agreed that over population was imminent in the selected LGA sampled.

Key: Climate change, Livelihood, Impact, Rural dwellers, Kwara State

INTRODUCTION

In many developing countries there are severe impacts of climate change. This has been noticed in several areas in Nigeria over the past decades. The most serious upshot of climate related problems have been dry spells, seasonal drought and intense rainfall leading to floods [1]. Droughts and floods have increased in frequency, intensity and magnitude and have adversely impacted on food and water security, water quality, energy and the economic sustenance of rural communities.

The growing population of urban cities and its inverse on rural areas has put immense pressure on land and agricultural products which is a major natural resource of the people, per-capital land holding sizes has reduced and the pattern of land use have changed, in many cases leading to

massive soil erosion and silting up of river systems and reduced river flow. Demand for water based products has increased beyond imagination leading to unsustainable use [2]. Deforestation of traditional lands, as well as encroachment of protected areas has resulted in continued loss of plants and animal's life, at the same time it served as a central player in contributing to the menace of climate change. The agricultural sector provide numerous essential services needed for life including food, animal-feed, fibre, energy and ecosystem services but it is vulnerable to climate changes [3].

Susceptibility/Exposure of the Land and Rural People to Climate Change

[4] defined vulnerability as a characteristic of a person or group in terms

of their capability to anticipate, cope with, resist and recover from the impact of natural hazard. The rural people of semi arid environments such as Kwara State which is on the edge or transition zone from the tropical rainforest region into the savanna can be described as vulnerable group that are faced with multiple impact of climate change related problem such as drought, deforestation, windstorms and flooding.

Non-climate stresses such as illiteracy, poverty, unequal access to land resources, food insecurity, social conflict, and incidence of pest and disease to man and animal can increase vulnerability to climate change by reducing resilience and can also reduce adaptive capacity because of resource deployed to competing needs. For example, the socio-economic stresses on the poor community around Mokola hills in Ibadan as a result of scarcity and an increase in fuel pump resulted to indiscriminate logging of the teak plantation along the Oke-Are hill and Ogunpa river bank; clear felling of trees on the hill for the construction of FESTAC building resulted to the floods (Omiyale) of the late seventies and early eighties in Oyo State Nigeria. When the rains came there were no tree canopies to cushion the droppings and no branching roots to break the speed of the runoff down the slope. Nigeria is faced with a number of weather and climate-related hazards, particularly floods and droughts, depending on the zone. The impacts and losses caused by these events are high because poverty and weak institutions with little capacity to prepare for and recover from these natural occurrences [5].

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The study purposively considered eight local government areas in Kwara State which is situated between longitudes 8° and 10° north latitudes 3° and 6° east longitudes, being the areas that are more prone to the effects of climate change. The major ethnic groups residing in Kwara State are the Yoruba, Nupe, Bariba, and Fulani. There are sixteen (16) LGAs in the state which include Asa, Baruten, Edu, Ekiti, Ifelodun, Ilorin East, Ilorin West, Ilorin South, Irepodun, Kaiama, Moro, Offa, Oyun, Isin, Oke-Ero and Patigi. Farming is the major occupation of the people. This

study was carried out in eight (8) local government areas in the state, Baruten, Edu, Ifelodun, Ilorin West, Irepodun, Kaiama, Moro and Patigi. The number of villages and households in each local government are not the same, therefore thirty percent of the villages in each local Government were proportionately selected. A total of 2000 copies of the questionnaires were administered. Primary and secondary data were collected, the primary data were collected from field survey, Structured and open ended questionnaires were used to collect data on the socio-economic characteristics of the farmers, impact of climate change on livelihood, The data collected were subjected to descriptive statistical analysis (frequency and percentages) to analyze socio-economic characteristics of the farmers. The Prism Graph Pad 6 was used for the analysis.

Results and Discussion

Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents

Table 1 below showed that majority of the respondents in five LGAs (Edu, Moro, Baruten, Ifelodun and Patigi) surveyed were male with Patigi having the highest (89.9% male) and (10.1% female). The figures in three LGAs Irepodun, Kaiama and Ilorin south are relatively close in male, female ratios with Ilorin South recording (54.2% males) and (45.8% females). Respondents within the age range of 19-28 years showed the highest percentage of people engaged in farming (Irepodun 45.8% and Patigi 45.5%). Ages < 18 years and 49 > recorded the lowest number of respondents (Ilorin south and Patigi 3.4% respectively). A total of 971 (68.2%) of respondents

Table 1: Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents (BIODATA)

	Gender		Age					Religion	
	Male	Female	< 18	19-28	29-38	39-48	49 >	Christianity	Islam
Edu (195)	165 (84.6)	30 (15.4)	20 (10.3)	34 (17.4)	92 (47.2)	25 (12.8)	20 (10.3)	65 (33.3)	130 (66.7)
Irepodun (180)	160(88.9)	20 (11.1)	19 (10.6)	36 (20)	61 (33.9)	29 (16.1)	31 (17.2)	135 (75)	45 (25)
Moro (185)	161 (87)	24 (13)	18 (9.7)	35 (18.9)	85 (45.9)	22 (11.9)	20 (10.8)	45 (24.3)	140 (75.7)
Barutin (190)	170 (89.5)	20 (10.5)	25 (13.2)	30 (15.8)	99 (52.1)	21 (11.1)	14 (7.3)	41 (21.6)	149 (78.4)
Ifelodun (183)	168 (91.8)	15 (8.2)	20 (10.9)	31 (16.9)	36 (19.7)	50 (27.3)	45 (24.6)	101 (55.2)	82 (44.8)
Kaiama (191)	170 (89.0)	21 (11.0)	27 (14.1)	35 (18.3)	94 (49.2)	26 (13.6)	15 (7.9)	47 (24.6)	144 (75.4)
Patigi (190)	165 (86.8)	25 (13.2)	25 (13.2)	35 (18.4)	83 (43.7)	28 (14.7)	18 (9.5)	90 (47.4)	100 (52.6)
Ilorin South (179)	169 (94.4)	10(5.6)	16 (8.9)	36 (20.1)	59 (33.0)	40 (22.3)	10 (5.6)	101 (56.4)	78 (43.6)
Total (1493)	1328 (88.9)	165 (11.1)	170 (11.4)	272 (18.2)	609 (40.8)	241 (16.1)	173 (11.6)	625 (41.8)	868 (59.1)

IJSER

engaged in farming in all the LGAs are male. This indicated that male dominates agricultural workforce in the study area. Majority of the respondents sampled 812 (51.1%) practice Islam with animist background. It was observed that the population of seven out of the eight local governments sampled was dominated by Muslims, only Irepodun LGA showed a result with a higher Christians population 135 (75%) compared with 45 (25%) Islam. This agrees with [6] who reported that males dominated the agricultural workforce in Nigeria. The high proportion of male to the female workforce in agriculture may be attributed to the socio-cultural belief of the rural dwellers who believe that farming is an occupation exclusively reserved for the male gender and useful for homemaking. It could also be due to the fact that land ownership and inheritance in a typical Nigerian socio-cultural set up is exclusively for the male child. The female gender, therefore, finds it difficult to acquire land on a substantial quantity which could be used for farming. Religion and its beliefs also play crucial roles in the livelihoods of the study area and is a factor that limits females from inheriting large portions of land which could be used for agriculture. For instance, males who are mostly household heads, have more access to land and participate more in outdoor activities than females.

Yield obtained by the farmers ranged between 233-230000 kg of produce per hectare. About 61.8% of the farmers harvested 200 to 4000 kg, 13.2% harvested 4001 to 8000 kg, 11.2% harvest 8001 to 12000, while others recorded 12,001 to 23000 kg of yield per hectare (Table 3) below. Low level of education, farm size and low income in the selected communities could have contributed to the peoples inability to adopt to changes / knowledge on climate change thereby leading to vulnerability [7].

Table 2:. Human activities affecting Climate change in sampled areas

	Human Activities					Vegetation Type			Other Activities		
	Charcoal	Building	Farmland	Industries	Livestock	Woody Perennials	Shrubs	Grassland	Pest	Diseases	Bush burning
Edu (195)	35 (21.1)	31 (18.7)	70 (42.2)	10 (6.0)	20 (12.0)	20 (12.0)	40 (24.1)	105 (63.9)	113 (68.1)	33 (19.9)	20 (12.0)
Irepodun (180)	74 (44.6)	28 (16.9)	53 (31.9)	11 (6.1)	11 (6.6)	24 (14.5)	54 (32.5)	88 (53.0)	79 (47.6)	57 (34.3)	30 (18.1)
Moro (185)	31 (44.3)	61 (32.8)	16 (22.9)	-	23 (32.9)	11 (15.7)	20 (28.6)	39 (55.7)	16 (22.9)	9 (12.9)	45 (64.3)
Barutin (190)	90 (54.2)	13 (7.8)	43 (25.9)	-	10 (6.0)	11 (6.6)	110 (66.3)	45 (27.1)	8 (11.4)	16 (22.9)	45 (65.7)
Ifelodun (183)	36 (21.6)	71 (42.5)	35 (21.0)	5 (3.0)	20 (12.0)	42 (25.1)	50 (29.9)	75 (44.9)	71 (42.5)	54 (32.3)	42 (25.1)
Kaiama (191)	58 (47.9)	27 (19.0)	19 (13.4)	2 (1.1)	15 (10.5)	35 (24.6)	23 (16.2)	84 (59.2)	10 (7.0)	13 (9.2)	119 (83.8)
Patigi (190)	85 (47.8)	52 (29.2)	23 (12.9)	2 (1.1)	16 (9.0)	9 (5.1)	100 (56.2)	69 (38.8)	110 (61.8)	47 (26.4)	11 (6.2)
Ilorin South (179)	90 (50.3)	62 (35.0)	8 (4.5)	15 (8.4)	2 (1.1)	46 (25.0)	54 (36.5)	77 (43.5)	55 (31.1)	77 (43.5)	45 (25.4)
Total 1493	499 (27.4)	345 (23.1)	267 (17.9)	45 (3.1)	117 (7.8)	198 (13.3)	451 (30.2)	582 (39.0)	462 (30.9)	306 (20.5)	357 (23.9)

IJSER

Impact of Human activities on Vegetation and Livelihood of the Sampled areas

Result from table 2 above showed that human activities are agents of climate change and impact negatively on the livelihood of the sampled areas. Logging for charcoal and building is very high contributing to over 50% loss of vegetation. Land clearing for farmland and livestock grazing take up 384 (25.7%). Clearing for industry is very low indicating that these communities are predominantly rural or neglected by government presence. Human activities due to climate change contributed to decline in soil fertility, water cycle in the communities has been affected by climate change, high temperature have led to surface evapotranspiration from plants and land surface. This agreed with UNFCC report 2007 which stated that the removal of part of the forest or vegetation cover in a given region results in much moisture loss. This could lead to drier weather, as it could be inferred from the result of the respondent about forty percent of the vegetation in this area is turning to grassland. This invariably has led to reduction in the productivity of both the tree and agricultural crops. At extreme dryness a lot of trees and other plants will die leaving the soil open and vulnerable to the desiccating effects of sun and soil erosion which collectively reduce the nutrients content of the soil. Trees serve as carbon sink because they utilize CO₂ in the physiological process of photosynthesis, carbon compounds like carbohydrates are synthesized through the process but when the trees died and decayed or are cut and burnt the carbon contents are released either into the soil or atmosphere and the process continues but is broken when the trees are adversely affected by climatic variables. [8] reported that when forests are cleared, the carbon is released as CO₂, it leads to an increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration. CO₂ is the major contributor to the greenhouse effect as reported by [9]. According to [5], the effects of climate change can reduce agricultural production, worsen food security, increase flooding and drought spreading diseases and increased

risk of conflicts over scarce land and water resources.

Impact of Flooding on Livelihood

Figure 1: showed that 284 (19.0%) housing units belonging to some of the respondents or their neighbours have been destroyed due to the effect of heavy flooding, 463 hectares approximately (31.0%) of the respondents interviewed reported loss of farmlands to flooding, while 229 (15.3%) pointed out that their livestock were affected by flood. This indicates that flooding is rampant in the sampled areas and its effect is a menace to both man and his livelihood. It was reported by [10] that valuable properties including houses, agricultural products, and livestock were lost due to flooding. According to [11] change in climate as a result of increasing temperature has brought about increasing water bodies in Sokoto state (flood). Annual flood are commonly experienced along the Sokoto Rima river, and farmlands and houses are submerged, this also lead to tree and agricultural crop failure.

Impact of Flooding on Livelihood




Figure 2: Effect of Drought on Livelihood

Types of Items affected by Flood

Effect of Drought on Livelihood of the communities

30.6% of the respondents reported that the increased insect attacks are the major effects of drought on farm products (figure 2) this could be attributable to the dry condition that favours rapid development of some insects (e.g caterpillar). 15.7% of the respondents believed that destruction of fauna and flora was aggravated by drought, while only 27.9% of the respondents agreed that low productivity of farmlands was caused by drought. This indicates that increased insect attack brings destruction on productivity and economic livelihood. This agrees with [12] which observed in Borno state (Maiduguri) from 1960-1999 that drought threatened agricultural and forestland areas of Nigeria. The persistent droughts have often times resulted in famine in the northern Nigeria, for instance during the drought of 1972-1973, about 300,000 animals died and farm yields dropped by 60%.

Threat of Climate Change

The result showed that 36.1% of the respondents believed that threat of climate change was more on health, the changes affect people's health as evidenced by widespread diseases such as malaria and high blood pressure. 16.4% of the respondent showed that food supply/agriculture is more threatened by climate change, 11.3% believed that fuelwood availability is affected by climate change, while 4.0% and 34% of the respondents respectively reported that agrobusiness and biodiversity are also threatened by climate change in the study area (Figure 3). According to [1], difference in weather conditions in a day or over a year influence seasonal rainfall patterns and temperature levels. These changes often times lead to droughts and incessant rainfall, thereby affecting people's cropping patterns and agronomic calendars.

Effect of climate change on Vegetation




Figure 3: Effect of Climate change on Vegetation

Causes of climate change

The result showed that 40.8% of the respondents mentioned that deforestation is the major cause in the area (Figure 4). Another 25.1% thought that over population is the leading cause of climate change in their communities, 21% believed over grazing causes climate change, while only 12% of the respondents opined that poor management of soil is the major cause of climate change in their area. This implies that deforestation generally increases the rates of soil erosion by increasing the amount of run-off and reducing the protection of the soil through the litter fall [13]. According to [14] deforestation has negative effects on the environment; the most drastic impact is the loss of habitat for millions of species. Seventy percent of the earth's land animals and plant live in the forest and many cannot survive deforestation that destroyed their homes.




Figure 4: Impact of human activities on sampled areas

Types of Pests Introduced

Climate change had led to various forms of crops infestations thereby reducing the quality and quantity of crops produced. As shown in Figure 5 below, 43.9% of the respondent opined that birds affect the production of crops, 18.2% of the respondent said weevils affect crop production right from the field to the store, 9.8% mentioned that nematode affect their farm produce, while 25.6% of the respondents showed that grasshopper affect crop production. According to [10] bird has more destroyed agricultural and forest resources. Quelea birds have added pressure on the already fragile ecosystem. According to one of the respondents, he had lost more than 100 bags of grain to invasion of quelea birds in the past five years.




Figure 5: % Pest /types in sampled area

The result indicated significant impact of climate change on livelihood such that many people loss their lives due to flood, livestock were destroyed due to flood and livestock were destroyed due to harsh weather. The respondents also indicated that farm produce were invaded by birds (qualea), deforestation was the major cause of climate change, and why some agreed that over population was responsible.

- Birds
- Weevils
- Nematode
- Grasshopper

Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge the School of Sciences, Kwara State College of Education (Technical) Lafiagi and Educational Trust Fund for providing the funds, enabling environment and material support for this work.

Labour

Most of the farmers (72.1%) spent ₦ 3000-200,000, 12.6% spent 201,000- 400,000, 8.9% of the farmers spent 401,000-600,000 to carry out farming activities yearly (Table 3). This indicates that majority of the respondents spent little amount on labour to carryout their farming activities. This finding implied that the study area was dominated by small scale farmers as categorized by [15] who reported that majority of the farmers spent little amount to carryout farming activities due to small size of land and therefore labour is grossly underutilised. The relatively small farm sizes could imply that the farms could be easily and properly managed without employing much labour.

Table 3: Showing labour cost and Crop produced

	1	2	3	4
Labour cost (N)	3000-200,000 (72.1%)	201,000-400,000 (12.6%)	401,000-600,000 (8.9%)	600,000- (5.4%)
Yield (kg)	200-4,000 (61.8%)	4,001-8,000 (13.2%)	2002,001-12,000 (11.2%)	12,000-23,000 (13.8%)

REFERENCES

1. AAI (Action Aid international) (2006). Climate Change and small holder farmers in Malawi. Understanding poor people experience on climate change adaptation. Malawi Ministry of Natural Resources Report. 25pp.
2. Environmental Affairs Department and Tourism, Republic of South Africa, 2002.
3. Bhorle, M. and Ramche L . (2010). *Assessing climate change impacts in Rasuwa district*. Unpublished report in Rasuwa, 15pp.
4. Berkes, H. Jenifer, S. Willian, J.A. (2003). *Neighborhood Social Changes*

Conclusion

- and perception on Environmental Degradation: Department of Geography University of Abuja. Population. *Environs.* 25:7-108.
5. IPCC. (International Panel on Climate Change (2001). Climate Change Impacts, adaptations and mitigation of Climate Change: scientific technical analysis. Edited by Watson, R.T., Zinyowera, M.T. and Mass, R.H. Cambridge University Press.
 6. Adedoyin, R.A., Mbada, C.E., Awofolu, O.O. and Oyebami, O.M. (2005) The influence of socio economic status on casual blood pressures of the adult Nigerians. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation* 12,pp.271 – 273.
 7. Simsek, D. & Karkacier, O. (1996): A study on socioeconomic factors affecting the adoption of agricultural innovations in rural region. *J. Productivity*, 4, 123-144.
 8. United Nations framework convention on climate change. (2007). Preliminary assessments of potential impacts of climate change on major economic activities. UNFCCC (2007).
 9. Laurance, W. F. (December 1999). "*Reflections on the tropical deforestation crisis*". *Biological Conservation*, Volume 91, Issues 2-3, pp. 109–117. <http://studentresearch.wcp.muohio.edu/uu/Biogeog/DiversityDisturbance/ReflectionsDeforestCrisis.pdf>
 10. Pushpam, K., and Uwe, A. S. (2008). *Greenhouse gas emission mitigation through agriculture," Working Papers FNU-155*, Research unit Sustainability and Global Change, Hamburg University, revised Feb 2008.
 11. Darkoh, M.B.K. (1998). The nature, causes and consequences of desertification in the dry lands of Africa, land Degrad, Development. Vol.9 1-2pp.
 12. NIMET (2001). Department of Metrological services, Federal Ministry of Aviation, WMO (World Metrological Organization) Standard for en route report to AFTN. ng linkedin. Com /. /742.
 13. SCWS. (2003). Conservation Implications of Climate Change: Soil Erosion and Runoff from Cropland. Soil and Water Conservation Society, Ankeny, Iowa.
 14. Yakubu, M. and . Yakubu, A. (2008). Effect of Climate Change on livelihood in Sokoto State. In C. Nwajiuba (Ed) *Climate Change and adaptation in Nigeria*. Magret publishers 95 (1) PP 145-153.
 15. United Nations framework convention on climate change. (2007). Preliminary assessments of potential impacts of climate change on major economic activities. UNFCCC (2007).
 16. UNFCCC. (2007). "*Investment and financial flows to address climate change*". UNFCCC. pp.81. http://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/background_publications_htmlpdf/application/pdf/pub_07_financial_flows.pdf.
 17. Reddy, D. N. (1990). 'Rural Migrant Labour in Andhra Pradesh', Report submitted to The National Commission on Rural Labour, Government of India.